

From: POLITICO Pro Agriculture [politicoemail@politicopro.com]
Sent: 11/18/2019 2:09:46 PM
To: Dunn, Alexandra [dunn.alexandra@epa.gov]
Subject: Battle over glyphosate shifts to the environmental front

Battle over glyphosate shifts to the environmental front

By Eddy Wax, Eline Schaart

11/18/2019 09:09 AM EST

Forget cancer. The next EU debate on the safety of glyphosate will be about the environment and the harm the ubiquitous herbicide can do to life in meadows and rivers.

The EU's three-year reevaluation of the world's most politically contentious weedkiller begins next month, with several countries such as France, Germany and Austria already pledging to phase it out.

EU countries narrowly granted a license to glyphosate in 2017 after a heated debate about whether it causes cancer. While its producer, the German chemical giant Bayer, is now facing thousands of lawsuits in the U.S. over concerns that it is a carcinogen, it is more likely that the European debate will now take a different course.

Bjorn Hansen, executive director of the European Chemicals Agency, which does not class glyphosate as a carcinogen, said there were "absolutely" other reasons to ban it.

"It is dangerous to the environment," he told POLITICO in an interview, suggesting there may well be a scientific justification to ban it from being used in the EU. "It all depends on which arguments are used."

Hansen is resolute on the question of whether glyphosate causes cancer, however. The herbicide's opponents cite a 2015 study by the World Health Organization's International Agency for Research on Cancer which classed it as "probably carcinogenic to humans."

But Hansen said, "When you try to take everything into account, and look at the bar at which we in Europe and the rest of the world call a substance a carcinogen, then the data does not push us above that bar."

The decision by Hansen's agency not to classify the weedkiller as a carcinogen paved the way for EU countries to grant glyphosate's five-year approval in 2017. ECHA's sister agency, the Parma-based European Food Safety Authority, also ruled out classing the substance as a carcinogen in its own assessment.

Into the weeds

That's why the action is now shifting to biodiversity. "It kills a lot of non-target plants and it leads to an agricultural practice where you have monoculture with no wild plants left in the fields," said Jeroen van der Sluijs, a professor of science and ethics at Norway's Bergen University.

Van der Sluijs gave evidence to the European Parliament last year on the way pesticides are authorized in the EU.

Killing unwanted plants across vast swathes of agricultural land has a devastating knock-on effect on pollinators such as bees and butterflies, van der Sluijs said.

"If you remove all the wild plants from the fields then you only have the crop that flowers and that's only a very short period in the year. The rest of the year there's nothing to forage on."

He added, "We find [glyphosate] everywhere in surface waters, it is indeed toxic for all kinds of aquatic organisms, so of special concern are amphibians like frogs and lizards."

Hansen's ECHA reported in 2017 that glyphosate has the potential to be toxic to aquatic life with long-lasting effects.

Farmers' lobbies, meanwhile, argue vociferously that glyphosate is essential for maintaining high yields of major crops across Europe.

Alexandra Brand, the chief sustainability officer of agri-chemical giant Syngenta, said she had also noticed a shift in concerns from human health to the environment during 150 "listening sessions" the company held with farmer groups and environmental NGOs. (Syngenta is one of the companies supporting glyphosate's reapproval.)

"A lot of what we talk about pesticides is a symbol for an agriculture we are not happy with. It's less about pesticides and health concerns," Brand said.

"It's more about that agriculture consumes too much land, it has the impact on biodiversity, it has an impact on climate change, and consumers don't trust it anymore," she added.

Bayer told POLITICO in a statement, "When used responsibly and properly, according to the label instructions, glyphosate does not pose a risk to non-target organisms."

A spokesperson also said, "No large-scale study has found any link between glyphosate, colony collapse disorder or other causes of hive losses."

"Researchers have found that by using glyphosate, farmers can ensure more productive harvests while using less land," the statement said. "By decreasing the amount of land needed to grow crops, farmers can preserve the important habitat and forage area that honey bees and other pollinators, birds, frogs and beneficial organisms need to thrive."

The three-year process leading up to the EU's reauthorization decision in late 2022 will kick off in earnest next month. A consortium of 12 crop-chemical companies seeking glyphosate's license renewal will submit its application to four rapporteur EU countries by December 15, Bayer said.

But there is a chance that glyphosate will be swept away in a political tide before the EU's decision.

Paris, Berlin and Vienna have announced they will phase out the pesticide in the coming years, regardless of the EU-wide decision.

Hansen said European citizens will likely scrutinize the EU's decision-making process even more fiercely than last time.

"The attention on what we're going to be doing in the re-evaluation, I believe will be higher. Now, to me, that's a demonstration of a democracy that works," he said. "A heightened political pressure, that's our daily bread."

This report first appeared on politico.eu on Nov. 18, 2019.

To view online:

<https://subscriber.politicopro.com/agriculture/article/2019/11/battle-over-glyphosate-shifts-to-the-environmental-front-1826923>

You received this POLITICO Pro content because your customized settings include: Chemicals and Toxins, Agriculture (and) Chemicals and Toxins, Food and Specialty Crops (and) Agriculture, Agrochemicals, Food and Specialty Crops, environment, Agriculture, Wildlife and Ecology. To change your alert settings, please go to <https://subscriber.politicopro.com/settings>.

POLITICOPRO

This email alert has been sent for the exclusive use of POLITICO Pro subscriber, dunn.alexandra@epa.gov. Forwarding or reproducing the alert without the express, written permission of POLITICO Pro is a violation of copyright law and the POLITICO Pro subscription agreement.

Copyright © 2019 by POLITICO LLC. To subscribe to Pro, please go to politicopro.com.

This email was sent to dunn.alexandra@epa.gov by:
POLITICO, LLC
1000 Wilson Blvd.
Arlington, VA 22209
USA .